

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

On Ternary Substitution-Groups of Finite Order which leave a Triangle unchanged.

BY H. MASCHKE.

In his papers, "Sur les équations différentielles linéaires à intégrale algébrique,"* and "Sur la détermination des groupes d'ordre fini contenues dans le groupe linéaire,"† C. Jordan has enumerated all those ternary linear substitution-groups whose order is a finite number. Three of these groups, being of special interest, viz. one group of order 60, isomorphic with the icosahedrongroup,‡ one of order 216, the so-called Hessian-group,§ and one of order 168,|| have been thoroughly investigated. But nothing has been done as yet with regard to those apparently simple ternary groups whose substitutions are given by formulæ of this kind:

$$z_1' = az_i, \ z_2' = bz_k, \ z_3' = cz_l,$$

where a, b, c are roots of unity and i, k, l in some order equal to 1, 2, 3.

It seems to be appropriate to name substitutions of this type "monomial" substitutions, and groups containing only monomial substitutions "monomial groups."

In the following a first step towards a complete treatment of these ternary monomial groups will be made, viz. the investigation of those groups "G" whose substitutions are generated by the following two monomial substitutions:

$$\begin{vmatrix}
z'_1 = z_2, \\
S : z'_2 = z_3, \\
z'_3 = z_1,
\end{vmatrix} (1), \quad T : z'_1 = a_1 z_1, \\
T : z'_2 = a_2 z_2, \\
z'_3 = a_3 z_3,
\end{vmatrix} (2)$$

^{*} Borchhardt's Journal, Bd. 84.

[†] Atti della Reale Accademia di Napoli, 1880.

[‡] F. Klein, Math. Ann., Bd. 12, p. 529; Icosaëder, p. 213 ff.

[¿] A. Witting, Dissertation, Göttingen, 1887, p. 28 ff.; H. Maschke, Math. Ann., Bd. 33, p. 324.

[|] F. Klein, Math. Ann., Bd. 14, p. 144; Bd. 15, p. 265. Klein-Fricke, Modulfunctionen, I, p. 692 ff.

where a_1 , a_2 , a_3 are roots of unity. T may also be written in this form:

$$z_{1}' = e^{\frac{2k_{1}\pi i}{m_{1}}} z_{1}, z_{2}' = e^{\frac{2k_{2}\pi i}{m_{2}}} z_{2}, z_{3}' = e^{\frac{2k_{3}\pi i}{m_{3}}} z_{3},$$

$$(3)$$

 k_1 , k_2 , k_3 and m_1 , m_2 , m_3 being integers.

The determinant of substitution S is 1. We impose the same condition also on substitution T, viz. $a_1a_2a_3=1$, or

$$\frac{k_1}{m_1} + \frac{k_2}{m_2} + \frac{k_3}{m_3} = n, \tag{4}$$

where n is any integer.

§1. The Invariant Forms of G.

We may assume k_1 to be prime to m_1 , k_2 to m_2 , k_3 to m_3 . Let R be the greatest common divisor of m_1 and m_2 , so that

$$m_1 = p_1 R, \quad m_2 = p_2 R,$$
 (5)

then p_1 and p_2 will be prime to each other and

$$p_1 p_2 R = P \tag{6}$$

the least common multiple of m_1 and m_2 . But equation (4) shows that P is divisible also by m_3 , therefore P is the period of T.

It follows from S that in every *invariant form* of G—i. e. a homogeneous integral function of z_1 , z_2 , z_3 which remains absolutely unchanged when the three variables are operated upon by the substitutions of G—only terms of these three types are admissible:

Type I :
$$z_1^a + z_2^a + z_3^a$$
,
Type II : $z_1^a z_2^\beta + z_2^a z_3^\beta + z_3^a z_1^\beta$,
Type III : $z_1^a z_2^\beta z_3^\beta + z_2^a z_3^\beta z_1^\gamma + z_3^a z_1^\beta z_2^\gamma$.

We see at once that $z_1z_2z_3$ is invariant, or, spoken geometrically, that the triangle of reference remains unchanged. Hence every form of type III is reducible to a product of some power of $z_1z_2z_3$ into a form of type I or type II, and if in

type II we admit the value zero for α or β , we see that forms of type I may also be discarded. So we have the following theorem:

Every invariant form of G is an integral function of $z_1z_2z_3$ and of forms $z_1^a z_2^{\beta} + z_2^a z_3^{\beta} + z_3^a z_1^{\beta}$ (α and β being positive integers or zero).

We now have to find the conditions that $z_1^a z_2^b + z_2^a z_3^b + z_3^a z_1^b$ remains invariant with regard to T.

If we apply T to $z_1^a z_2^{\beta} + z_2^a z_3^{\beta} + z_3^a z_1^{\beta}$ and put this expression equal to the transformed expression, we see that the following three equations must be satisfied:

$$\frac{ak_{1}}{m_{1}} + \frac{\beta k_{2}}{m_{2}} = \lambda ,
\frac{ak_{2}}{m_{2}} + \frac{\beta k_{3}}{m_{3}} = \lambda_{1} ,
\frac{ak_{3}}{m_{3}} + \frac{\beta k_{1}}{m_{1}} = \lambda_{2}$$
(7)

 $(\lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \text{ integers}).$

Substituting, now, the value of $\frac{k_3}{m_3}$ taken from (4) into (7), we obtain

$$\frac{\alpha k_1}{m_1} + \frac{\beta k_2}{m_2} = \lambda,
\frac{\alpha k_2}{m_2} - \beta \left(\frac{k_1}{m_1} + \frac{k_2}{m_2} \right) = \mu$$
(8)

(λ and μ integers).

Adding together these two equations (8) and combining the result with (4), we obtain the third equation (7), which therefore may be omitted.

Let now c be the greatest common divisor of k_1 and k_2 , so that

$$k_1 = cx_1, \ k_2 = cx_2,$$
 (9)

then λ and μ must be divisible by c because k_1 is prime to m_1 and k_2 to m_2 . Changing the signification of λ and μ , we have these two equations

$$\begin{split} \frac{\alpha \mathbf{x}_1}{m_1} + \frac{\beta \mathbf{x}_2}{m_2} &= \lambda, \\ \frac{\alpha \mathbf{x}_2}{m_2} - \beta \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_1}{m_1} + \frac{\mathbf{x}_2}{m_2} \right) &= \mu \end{split}$$

 $(\lambda \text{ and } \mu \text{ integers}).$

Multiplying by $m_1. m_2$ and substituting the expressions (5), we obtain

$$\begin{array}{l}
\alpha x_1 p_2 + \beta x_2 p_1 &= \lambda p_1 p_2 R, \\
\alpha x_2 p_1 - \beta (x_1 p_2 + x_2 p_1) &= \mu p_1 p_2 R,
\end{array} \right\}$$
(10)

and in these equations x_1 is prime to x_2 and to p_1 , x_2 to x_1 and p_2 , p_1 to p_2 . It follows, therefore, from the first of these equations that α is a multiple of p_1 , β a multiple of p_2 , and then from the second that α is a multiple of p_2 , and β a multiple of p_1 . Hence

$$\alpha = \alpha' p_1 p_2, \ \beta = \beta' p_1 p_2, \tag{11}$$

where α' , β' are two new integers. Substituting these values into (10), we may divide by p_1p_2 and have

$$\alpha' \mathbf{x}_1 p_2 + \beta' \mathbf{x}_2 p_1 = \lambda R,$$

$$\alpha' \mathbf{x}_2 p_1 - \beta' (\mathbf{x}_1 p_2 + \mathbf{x}_2 p_1) = \mu R,$$

or, using the abbreviation

$$\mathbf{x}_1 p_2 = p, \ \mathbf{x}_2 p_1 = q, \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha'p + \beta'q & \equiv 0 \pmod{R}, \\
\alpha'q - \beta'(p+q) \equiv 0 \pmod{R},
\end{array} \tag{13}$$

where p and q are prime to each other.

Solving (13) with regard to α' and β' , we find

$$\alpha' (p^2 + pq + q^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{R},
\beta' (p^2 + pq + q^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{R}.$$
(14)

Let now t be the greatest common divisor of $p^2 + pq + q^2$ and R, so that

$$p^2 + pq + q^2 = st,
 R = rt.$$
(15)

then it follows from (14),

$$\alpha's \equiv 0 \pmod{r},$$

 $\beta's \equiv 0 \pmod{r}.$

But r and s have no common divisor, therefore

$$\alpha' = r\alpha'', \ \beta' = r\beta'', \tag{16}$$

where α'' and β'' are again two new integers.

Substituting (16) into (13), we may divide by r and obtain

$$\alpha''p + \beta''q \qquad \equiv 0 \pmod{t}, \tag{17}$$

$$\alpha''q - \beta''(p+q) \equiv 0 \pmod{t}, \tag{18}$$

and here t has no common divisor with p and q. This follows immediately from $p^2 + pq + q^2 = st$ and from p being prime to q.

We now have to find the solutions of the congruences (17) and (18). For that purpose let us first treat congruence (17). Assign to α'' any positive integral value. We may write

$$\alpha'' \equiv n \pmod{t},\tag{19}$$

where n < t. Then we have

$$\beta''q \equiv -np \pmod{t}. \tag{20}$$

Solve now the congruence

$$v \cdot q \equiv -p \pmod{t}. \tag{21}$$

We know there will exist one and only one solution v < t. Denote this particular value by v. Then the general solution of (20) is

$$\beta'' \equiv nv \pmod{t}. \tag{22}$$

We have thus in (19) and (22) the most general solution of (17).

Let us substitute these values of α'' and β'' into (18). We obtain

$$nq - nv(p+q) \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$$
.

If n = 0, this congruence is satisfied. If $n \neq 0$, we may divide by n and multiply by q (which is prime to t),

$$\therefore q^2 - vq (p+q) \equiv 0 \pmod{t}.$$

But $vq \equiv -p \pmod{t}$, hence (18) becomes

$$q^2 + pq + p^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{t},$$

which congruence is satisfied indeed according to (15). The solutions α'' and β'' of (17) satisfy therefore also (18) without any further restriction.

It is evident that the general solution may also be obtained by first assuming some integral value for β'' , i. e.

$$\beta'' \equiv n \pmod{t}. \tag{23}$$

Denoting the smallest positive root of the congruence

$$w.p \equiv -q \pmod{t} \tag{24}$$

by
$$w$$
, we have $a'' \equiv nw \pmod{t}$. (25)

The values of α and β are now given by (11), (16) and (19), (20) or (25), (23), viz.

$$\alpha = p_1 p_2 r (\lambda t + n),
\beta = p_1 p_2 r (\mu t + n v),$$
(26)

 \mathbf{or}

$$\alpha = p_1 p_2 r (\lambda t + n w),
\beta = p_1 p_2 r (\mu t + n).$$
(27)

Since $p_1p_2rt = p_1p_2R = P$ —see equations (15) and (6)—we may also write

$$\alpha \equiv n \cdot p_1 p_2 r \pmod{P},
\beta \equiv n \cdot p_1 p_2 r \pmod{P},$$
(28)

or

$$\begin{array}{l}
\alpha \equiv nw. p_1 p_2 r \pmod{P}, \\
\beta \equiv n. p_1 p_2 r \pmod{P},
\end{array}$$
(29)

where n stands for any positive integer $\langle t,$ or zero.

We have thus obtained the following final result:

All invariant forms of G are integral functions of $z_1z_2z_3$ and of forms $z_1^az_2^b+z_2^az_3^b+z_3^az_1^b$, where α and β are to be found by the following process: Find the greatest common divisor R of m_1 and m_2 , and put $m_1=p_1R$, $m_2=p_2R$, $p_1p_2R=P$. Divide the two numbers k_1 , k_2 by their greatest common divisor and call the quotients \varkappa_1 and \varkappa_2 . Denote $p_1\varkappa_2=p$ and $p_2\varkappa_1=q$. Let t be the greatest common divisor of R and the quadratic form p^2+pq+q^2 ; put R=rt. Solve the congruences

$$vq \equiv -p \pmod{t}$$
 and $wp \equiv -q \pmod{t}$,

then a and β are given by formulæ (28) or (29).

$\S 2.$ The Quantities v, w and t.

In the following we shall always suppose t > 1. The case t = 1 will be treated separately under the head "special cases" in §4.

Let us multiply the congruence (21) by (24). We may then divide by pq, which is prime to t, and obtain

$$vw \equiv 1 \pmod{t}. \tag{30}$$

Multiplying now (21) and (24) by p and q respectively and adding together, we obtain

$$(v+w) pq \equiv -p^2 - q^2 \pmod{t},$$

or, since
$$-p^2 - q^2 \equiv pq \pmod{t}$$
, see (15),
 $v + w \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$.

But v and w are both supposed to be $\langle t, \text{ hence we have} \rangle$

$$v + w = t + 1. \tag{31}$$

Finally we deduce from (21) the two congruences

$$v^2q^2 \equiv p^2 \pmod{t},$$

 $-vq^2 \equiv pq \pmod{t},$

and joining the identity $q^2 \equiv q^2 \pmod{t}$, we obtain by addition

$$q^{2}(v^{2}-v+1) \equiv p^{2}+pq+q^{2} \pmod{t},$$

$$v^{2}-v+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{t}.$$
(32)

or

In a similar manner we find

$$w^2 - w + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{t}. \tag{33}$$

Thus we have the following result: The two quantities v and w are roots of the quadratic congruence

$$\omega^2 - \omega + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{t}; \tag{34}$$

they are connected by the relations

$$v + w = t + 1$$
 and $vw \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$.

The congruence (34) is not solvable for every integral value of t. But it is always solvable for those values of t which occur in the present problem. It is shown in the Theory of Numbers* that the quadratic form $p^2 + pq + q^2$ (p and q prime to each other) represents all those and only those numbers N which are given by $p_1^{\lambda_1} \cdot p_2^{\lambda_2} \cdot p_3^{\lambda_3} \cdot \ldots$ or $3p_1^{\lambda_1} \cdot p_2^{\lambda_2} \cdot p_3^{\lambda_3} \cdot \ldots$ where $p_1, p_2, p_3 \cdot \ldots$ are prime numbers of the form 3h + 1. The number t, being a divisor of $p^2 + pq + q^2$ is therefore also of the form N. The smallest possible values of t are these: 1, 3, 7, 13, 19, 21, 31, 37, 39, 43, 49, 57, 61, 67, 73, 79, 91, 93, 97, etc.

Let v be some root of the congruence (34), then w = t + 1 - v is also a root of the same congruence, and the relation $vw \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$ is satisfied too. If t is of the form p_1^{λ} or $3p_1^{\lambda}$, then the congruence (34) has only two roots, and these roots are to be taken as v and w. The lowest value of t for which (34) has more

^{*} Dirichlet-Dedekind, Zahlentheorie, 1871, p. 165.

than two roots is t = 91. In this case we have the four roots 10, 17, 75, 82, and here either 10 and 82 or 17 and 75 may be taken as a system v, w.

Let us examine the case v = w. In this case we have from (31)

$$v = w = \frac{1}{2}(t+1),$$

and from (32),

or

$$(t+1)^2 - 2(t+1) + 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{t},$$

 $t^2 + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{t},$

hence t = 3, v = w = 2.

The two numbers v and w are therefore always distinct except in the case t=3.

§3. Connection between the Invariant Forms.

For further investigation of the invariant forms let us put

$$p_1 p_2 r = \vartheta, \tag{35}$$

$$z^{p_1 p_2 r} = z^{\vartheta} = y, \tag{36}$$

and let us denote for shortness

$$\begin{array}{ll} y_1^a y_2^b + y_2^a y_3^b & + y_3^a y_1^b & = (y_1^a y_2^b), \\ y_1^a y_2^b y_3^c + y_2^a y_3^b y_1^c + y_3^a y_1^b y_2^c & = (y_1^a y_2^b y_3^c). \end{array}$$

All invariant forms of G are then given as integral functions of $z_1z_2z_3$ and expressions of the form

$$(y_1^{\lambda t+n}.y_2^{\mu t+nv}). \tag{37}$$

Furthermore, we shall use the following notations:

$$\begin{cases}
 y_1 y_2 y_3 = A, \\
 y_1^t + y_2^t + y_3^t = E.
 \end{cases}
 \tag{38}$$

If in (37) n runs from 1 to t-1, then nv will constitute a complete system of residues (mod. t). Let us denote

$$nv \equiv v_n \pmod{t},\tag{39}$$

where $v_n < t$. Then v_n will assume all the values from 1 to t-1 in some order when n runs from 1 to t-1. Thus we obtain t-1 forms,

$$(y_1^n y_2^{v_n}), \qquad (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, t-1),$$

which are contained in (37). We shall denote these special forms by

$$\psi_n = (y_1^n y_2^v), \tag{40}$$

or simply by ψ when no reference to the value of n is needed.

The same system of forms (40) is also, according to (27), given by

$$\psi_{w_n} = (y_1^{w_n} y_2^n), \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, t-1),$$

where again $w_n < t$ is defined by the congruence

$$nw \equiv w_n \pmod{t}. \tag{41}$$

We now propose to show that all the invariant forms given by (37) are expressible in terms of A, E and ψ_n .

We know that v will be distinct from w unless t=3. To fix the ideas, let us in the following always assume v>w. If in a given case w, defined by (24), should be greater than v, we have only to interchange v and w or α and β . We prove now the following lemmas:

1). $y_1^t y_2^t + y_2^t y_3^t + y_3^t y_1^t = (y_1^t y_2^t)$ is expressible in terms of A, E and ψ .

From
$$v^2 \equiv v - 1 \pmod{t}$$

it follows that

$$v_v = v - 1$$
,

and likewise

Hence

$$w_{m}=w-1$$
.

Multiplying now $\psi_v.\psi_{w-1}$ we find

$$\psi_v \psi_{w-1} = (y_1^{v+w-1} y_2^{v+w-1}) + A^w (y_1^{v-w} y_2^{v-2}) + A^{w-1} (y_1^{v+1} y_2^{v-w}).$$

 $\psi_{n} = (y_{1}^{v} y_{2}^{v-1})$ and $\psi_{m} = (y_{1}^{w} y_{2}^{w-1}).$

But v + w - 1 = t, see (31), hence

$$(y_1^t y_2^t) = \psi_v \psi_{v-1} - A^w \psi_{v-v} - A^{w-1} \psi_{v+1}. \tag{43}$$

This deduction fails for t=3. In this case we find directly

$$(y_1^3y_2^3) = \psi_1\psi_2 - 3A^2 - AE. \tag{44}$$

(42)

2). $(y_1^{t+n}y_2^{v_n})$ is expressible in terms of $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$, A, E and ψ .

This follows immediately by performing the multiplication

$$(y_1^n y_2^{v_n})(y_1^t + y_2^t + y_3^t) = (y_1^{t+n} y_2^{v_n}) + (y_1^n y_2^{t+v_n}) + (y_1^n y_2^{v_n} y_3^t),$$
whence
$$(y_1^{t+n} y_2^{v_n}) = -(y_1^n y_2^{t+v_n}) + E.\psi_n - A^n \psi_{v_n-n},$$

$$(45)$$

if $n < v_n$. If, however, $n > v_n$, the last term in (45) is to be replaced by $A^{v_n} \psi_{n-v_n}$.

3). $(y_1^{t+n}y_2^{t+v_n})$ is expressible in terms of $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$, A, E and ψ .

We find

$$(y_1^n y_2^{v_n})(y_1^t y_2^t) = (y_1^{t+n} y_2^{t+v_n}) + (y_1^n y_2^{t+v_n} y_3^t) + (y_1^{t+n} y_2^{v_n} y_3^t)$$

$$= (y_1^{t+n} y_2^{t+v_n}) + A^n (y_1^{t+v_n-n} y_2^{t-n}) + A^{v_n} (y_1^{t-v_n} y_2^{t+n-v_n}),$$
or
$$(y_1^{t+n} y_2^{t+v_n}) = E.\psi_n - A^n (y_1^{t+v_n-n} y_2^{t-n}) + A^{v_n} (y_1^{t-v_n} y_2^{t+n-v_n}).$$
(46)

If $v_n > n$, then the factor of A^{v_n} in (46) is a function ψ_n , and the factor of A^n , being of the type $(y_1^{t+m}y_2^{v_m})$, is reducible to $(y_1^my_2^{t+v_m})$ and A, E, ψ_n , according to (45). If, however, $n > v_n$, then the factor of A^n is a function ψ_n and the factor of A^{v_n} a function $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$.

4). $(y_1y_2^{t+v})$ is expressible in terms of A, E and ψ .

From

$$(y_1^{v}y_2)(y_1^{v}y_2^{v-1}) = (y_1^{t+1}y_2^{v}) + A(y_1^{v-1}y_2^{t-1}) + A^{w}(y_1^{v+1-w}y_2^{v-w+1})$$

we obtain $(y_1^{t+1}y_2^v) = \psi_w \psi_v - A \psi_{v-1} - A^w p_{v+1-w}$,

and from (45), putting n=1,

$$(y_1^{t+1}y_2^v) = -(y_1y_2^{t+v}) + E\psi_1 - A\psi_{v-1}.$$

Combining the last two equations, we have

$$(y_1 y_2^{t+v}) = E \psi_1 - \psi_v \psi_w + A^w \psi_{v+1-w}. \tag{47}$$

This deduction fails again for t=3. In this case we find

$$(y_1y_2^5) = (A+E)\psi_1 - \psi_2^2. \tag{48}$$

5). $(y_1^n y_2^{t+v_n})$ is expressible in terms of A, E and ψ .

This theorem has already been proved for n=1 (see lemma 4). In order to prove it for functions $(y_1^{n+1}y_2^{t+v^{n+1}})$ $(n=1, 2, 3, \ldots, t-2)$, we have to distinguish two cases, viz. $v+v_n=t+v_{n+1}$ and $v+v_n=v_{n+1}$. In the first case let us form the product

$$(y_1^n y_2^{v_n})(y_1 y_2^v) = (y_1^{n+1} y_2^{t+v_{n+1}}) + A\Psi, (y_1^{n+1} y_2^{t+v_{n+1}}) = \psi_1 \psi - A\Psi,$$
(49)

in the latter, $(y_1^n y_2^{v_n})(y_1 y_2^{t+v}) = (y_1^{n+1} y_2^{t+v_{n+1}}) + A\Psi',$

whence $(y_1^{n+1}y_2^{t+v_{n+1}}) = (y_1y_2^{t+v})\psi_{\alpha} - A\Psi',$

or, applying (47), $(y_1^{n+1}y_2^{t+v_{n+1}}) = E\psi_1\psi_n - \psi_n\psi_v\psi_w - A\Psi'', \tag{50}$

whence

would imply

where Ψ , Ψ' and Ψ'' are expressions consisting of functions of the type $(y_1^{t+m}y_2^{t+v_m})$, $(y_1^{t+p}y_2^{v_p})$, $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$, E, ψ and of products of some powers of A into such functions. But $(y_1^{t+m}y_2^{t+v_m})$ and $(y_1^{t+p}y_2^{v_p})$ can be reduced to A, E, ψ , $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$ according to lemma 2 and 3, and let us suppose that this reduction has actually been made. Ψ , Ψ' and Ψ'' contain then only functions A, E, ψ and $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$. The degrees of all the t-2 functions $(y_1^{n+1}y_2^{t+v_{n+1}})$ will be distinct from each other, for any equation

$$n+1+t+v_{n+1} = m+1+t+v_{m+1}$$
$$(n+1)(v+1) \equiv (m+1)(v+1) \pmod{t},$$

or $n \equiv m \pmod{t}$, i. e. n = m. (The case $v + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$ leads to v = t - 1, w = 2, t = 3, which shall be excluded.) Let, then, $(y_1^{m+1}y_2^{t+v_{m+1}})$ be that function whose degree is a minimum and now apply formula (49) or (50). The degree of Ψ or of Ψ'' is $m+1+t+v_{m+1}-3$. It follows that Ψ and Ψ'' cannot contain functions of the type $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$ at all since $m+1+t+v_{m+1}-3$ is smaller than the smallest possible degree of $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$. Therefore $(y_1^{m+1}y_2^{t+v_{m+1}})$ must be expressible in terms of A, E and Ψ only. We now apply the same conclusion to the successive functions $(y_1^{n+1}y_2^{t+v_{n+1}})$ which we arrange according to their dimensions. The functions Ψ and Ψ'' occurring in that representation will then contain either no functions $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$ at all or only those which have already been reduced to A, E and Ψ . Hence we have the theorem: Every function $(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n})$ is expressible in terms of A, E and Ψ .

The case t=3 has again to be treated separately. We find directly

$$(y_1^2 y_2^4) = \psi_1^2 - 2A\psi_2. \tag{51}$$

Combining now lemmas 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, we have the following result: The functions $(y_1^n y_2^{t+v_n})$, $(y_1^{t+n} y_2^{v_n})$, $(y_1^{t+n} y_2^{t+v_n})$ for all the values of $n=0, 1, 2, \ldots, t-1$ are expressible in terms of A, E and ψ .

Multiplying these functions by E and $y_1^t y_2^t + y_2^t y_3^t + y_3^t y_1^t = B$, we can increase either exponent by t. We find

$$(y_1^n y_2^{2t+v_n}) = (y_1^n y_2^{t+v_n}) E - (y_1^{t+n} y_2^{t+v_n}) - A^n (y_1^{t+v_n-n} y_2^{t-n}),$$
 (52)

and a corresponding formula for $(y_1^{2t+n}y_2^{v_n})$,

$$(y_1^{t+n}y_2^{2t+v_n}) = (y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n}) B - A^n (y_1^{2t+v_n-n}y_2^{t-n}) - A^t\psi_n,$$
 (53)

and a corresponding formula for $(y_1^{2t+n}y_2^{t+v_n})$,

$$(y_1^{2t+n}y_2^{2t+v_n}) = (y_1^{t+n}y_2^{t+v_n}) B - A^t(y_1^ny_2^{t+v_n}) - A^t(y_1^{t+n}y_2^{v_n}).$$
 (54)

This method can be continued until all the functions $(y_1^{\lambda t} + n y_2^{\mu t} + v_n)$, where $\lambda, \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ and $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots t - 1$, are expressed in terms of A, B, E and ψ , i. e. in terms of A, E and ψ , since B itself is given in terms of A, E and ψ , see (43) and (44).

The case n=0 requires a special deduction. If $\lambda = \mu$, then the function $(y_1^{\lambda t}y_2^{\lambda t})$ is symmetrical, and therefore expressible in terms of

$$y_1^t + y_2^t + y_3^t = E,$$

 $y_1^t y_2^t + y_2^t y_3^t + y_3^t y_1^t = B,$
 $y_1^t y_2^t y_3^t = A^t.$

If $\lambda \neq \mu$ it becomes necessary to express the product of differences,

$$(y_1^t - y_2^t)(y_2^t - y_3^t)(y_3^t - y_1^t) = (y_1^t y_2^{2t}) - (y_1^{2t} y_2^t).$$

We obtain this by performing the multiplications

$$(y_1^v y_2^{t+v-1}).(y_1^{w-1} y_2^w)$$
 and $(y_1^{t+w-1} y_2^w)(y_1^v y_2^{v-1}).$

The result is:

$$(y_1^t y_2^{tt}) = \psi_{w-1}(y_1^v y_2^{t+v-1}) - A^w (y_1^{v-w} y_2^{t+v-2}) - A^{w-1}(y_1^{v+1} y_2^{t+v-w}),$$

$$(y_1^{2t} y_2^t) = \psi_v (y_1^{t+w-1} y_2^w) - A^w (y_1^{v-w} y_2^{t+v-2}) - A^{v-1}(y_1^{t+w-v} y_2^{w+1}).$$

$$(55)$$

Now, every function $(y_1^{\lambda t}y_2^{\mu t})$ is expressible in terms of the symmetric functions A, B, E and of (55) and (56).

Thus we have the final result:

Denote $r_1r_2p = \Im$, $y = z^{\vartheta}$, $y_1y_2y_3 = A$, $y_1^t + y_2^t + y_3^t = E$, $(y_1^ny_2^{v_n}) = \psi_n$, and suppose t > 1, then every invariant form of G is an integral function of $z_1z_2z_3 = \sqrt[3]{A}$, E and ψ_n $(n = 1, 2, \ldots, t - 1)$.

This system of the t+1 forms $\sqrt[3]{A}$, E, ψ_n may be called the "reduced system" of invariant forms. It is in general greater than the "complete system," and it contains the forms of the complete system. The results of the next section will show that for t>3 some of the forms ψ_n can be expressed as integral functions of the other forms of the reduced system.

^{*}A complete system of invariant forms is given by the *minimum* number of invariants such that every other invariant may be expressed as an integral function of the forms of the system.

§4. Special Cases.

I.
$$t = 1$$
.

The case t = 1 covers a great many special groups G; for instance, all those where R, the greatest common divisor of m_1 and m_2 , is either 1, or where it consists of prime factors of the form 3h + 2, i. e. 2, 5, 11, etc.

For t=1 the formulæ (26) are reduced to

$$\alpha = \lambda \vartheta$$
 and $\beta = \mu \vartheta$,

where λ and μ are two integers. All invariant forms are therefore given by $z_1 z_2 z_3$ and $(z_1^{\lambda \delta} z_2^{\mu \delta})$; they are expressible as integral functions of

$$egin{aligned} z_1 z_2 z_3 &= \sqrt[3]{A}\,, \ y_1 + y_2 &+ y_3 &= E\,, \ y_1 y_2 + y_2 y_3 + y_3 y_1 &= B\,, \ (y_1 - y_2)(y_2 - y_3)(y_3 - y_1) &= \Delta\,. \end{aligned}$$

and

These four forms constitute therefore the complete system of invariants of the group. There exists one relation between them, viz.

$$\Delta^2 = 18ABE - 4AE^3 - 4B^3 + B^2E^2 - 27A^2.$$

II.
$$t=3$$
.

In this case the reduced system consists of

$$\sqrt[3]{A}$$
, E , $\psi_1 = (y_1y_2^2)$, $\psi_2 = (y_1^2y_2)$,

which is also the complete system. The relation between its four forms is this:

$$\psi_1^3 + \psi_2^3 - \psi_1 \psi_2 (6A + E) + A (9A^2 + 3AE + E^2) = 0.$$

III.
$$t=7$$
.

The roots of the congruence

$$\omega^2 - \omega + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

are v = 5, w = 3. The reduced system consists of

$$\sqrt[q]{A}$$
, E and 6 functions $\psi_n = (y_1^n y_2^{v_n})$ $(n = 1, 2, \ldots, 6)$.

Corresponding values of n and v_n are given in the following table:

$$\frac{n}{v_n} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

The complete system consists of $\sqrt[3]{A}$, E, and ψ_1 , ψ_2 , ψ_3 . We find

$$egin{aligned} \psi_4 &= \psi_2^2 - 2A^2 \psi_3, \ \psi_5 &= \psi_2 \psi_3 - A \psi_1 - 3A^3, \ \psi_6 &= \psi_3^2 - 2A \psi_2. \end{aligned}$$

There must exist two relations between the five forms of the complete system. These are

$$\psi_2^2 - \psi_1 \psi_3 + AE - A^2 \psi_3 = 0,
\psi_1^2 + \psi_3^3 - E\psi_2 - 5A\psi_2 \psi_3 + 3A^2 \psi_1 + 9A^4 = 0.$$
(57)

As an example may serve the group* generated by

$$egin{array}{lll} z_1' = z_2, & z_1' = \gamma z_1 \,, \ S\colon z_2' = z_3, & ext{and} & T\colon z_2' = \gamma^4 z_2, \ z_3' = z_1, & z_3' = \gamma^2 z_3, & ext{where } \gamma = e^{rac{2\pi i}{7}}. \end{array}$$

We have here $m_1 = m_2 = 7$; hence R = 7, $p_1 = p_2 = 1$, $k_1 = 1$, $k_2 = 4$ and also $\varkappa_1 = 1$, $\varkappa_2 = 4$; $p = \varkappa_1 p_2 = 1$, $q = \varkappa_2 p_1 = 4$, $p^2 + pq + q^2 = 21$, therefore t = 7, r = 1, $\vartheta = p_1 p_2 r = 1$. The congruence $4v \equiv -1 \pmod{7}$ gives v = 5. The complete system is therefore given by

$$A = z_{1}z_{2}z_{3} ,$$

$$\psi_{3} = z_{1}^{3}z_{2} + z_{2}^{3}z_{3} + z_{3}^{3}z_{1} ,$$

$$\psi_{2} = z_{1}^{2}z_{2}^{3} + z_{2}^{2}z_{3}^{3} + z_{3}^{2}z_{1}^{3} ,$$

$$\psi_{1} = z_{1}z_{2}^{5} + z_{2}z_{3}^{5} + z_{3}z_{1}^{5} ,$$

$$E = z_{1}^{7} + z_{2}^{7} + z_{3}^{7} .$$

$$(58)$$

IV.
$$t = 13$$
.

The roots of the congruence

$$\omega^2 - \omega + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{13}$$

are v = 10, w = 4. The reduced system consists of

$$\sqrt[3]{A}$$
, E, and 12 functions $\psi_n = (y_1^n y_1^{v_n})$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots, 12)$.

^{*}This group (of order 21) and its invariant forms play an important part in many investigations. The group occurs as a subgroup of the G_{108} mentioned in the introduction, and also as a subgroup of a quaternary G_{108} (see my paper on this group read at the International Mathematical Congress, Chicago, 1893), which latter is contained again as a subgroup in a quaternary group of order $\frac{7!}{2}$ (F. Klein, Ueber Gleichungen 6. und 7. Grades, Math. Ann., Bd. 28, p. 517). Klein's investigations on transformation of the 7th order (Math. Ann., Bd. 13, p. 428) are based on the curve $\psi_3 = z_1^3 z_2 + z_2^3 z_3 + z_3^3 z_1 = 0$ (see also Haskell, American Journal, Vol. XIII, p. 1). The five invariants (58) and the two syzygies (57) occur also in a paper by Brioschi, "Ueber die Jacobischen Modulargleichungen vom 8ten Grad" (Math. Ann., Bd. 15, p. 241).

The corresponding values of n and v_n are these:

The complete system consists of $\sqrt[q]{A}$, E, ψ_1 , ψ_2 , ψ_3 , ψ_4 . We find

$$\begin{split} \psi_5 &= \psi_2 \psi_3 - A^2 \psi_4^2 + A^3 \psi_3, \\ \psi_6 &= \psi_3^2 - 2A^3 \psi_4, \\ \psi_7 &= \psi_3 \psi_4 - A \psi_2 - 3A^4, \\ \psi_8 &= \psi_4^3 - 2A \psi_3, \\ \psi_9 &= \psi_3^3 - 3A^3 \psi_3 \psi_4 + 3A^7, \\ \psi_{10} &= \psi_3^2 \psi_4 - A \psi_2 \psi_3 - A^3 \psi_4^2 - 2A^4 \psi_3, \\ \psi_{11} &= \psi_3 \psi_4^2 - A (\psi_2 \psi_4 + \psi_3^2) - 2A^4 \psi_4, \\ \psi_{12} &= \psi_4^3 - 3A \psi_3 \psi_4 + 3A^5. \end{split}$$

The three relations between the six forms of the complete system are

$$\begin{split} &\psi_2^2 = \psi_1 \psi_3 - A \psi_4^3 + 5 A^2 \psi_3 \psi_4 - 3 A^3 \psi_2 - 9 A^6, \\ &\psi_3^2 = \psi_2 \psi_4 - A \psi_1 + A^3 \psi_4, \\ &\psi_1 \psi_4 - \psi_2 \psi_3 = A E - A^3 \psi_3. \end{split}$$

$$V_{.}$$
 $t = 19$.

The solutions of the congruence

$$\omega^2 - \omega + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{19}$$

are v = 12, w = 8. The reduced system consists of

$$\sqrt[3]{A}$$
, E and 18 functions $\psi_n = (y_1^n y_2^{v_n})$ $(n = 1, 2, \dots, 18)$.

Corresponding values of n and v_n are

The complete system consists of $\sqrt[3]{A}$, E, ψ_1 , ψ_2 , ψ_5 , ψ_8 .

$$\psi_{3} = \psi_{1}\psi_{2} - A\psi_{5}\psi_{8} + A^{4}\psi_{5},
\psi_{4} = \psi_{2}^{2} - 2A^{2}\psi_{5},
\psi_{6} = \psi_{2}^{3} - 3A^{2}\psi_{2}\psi_{5} + 3A^{7},
\psi_{7} = \psi_{2}\psi_{5} - A^{2}\psi_{8} - 3A^{5},
\psi_{9} = \psi_{2}^{2}\psi_{5} - 2A^{2}\psi_{5}^{2} - A^{3}\psi_{1} - A^{5}\psi_{3},$$

$$\begin{split} &\psi_{10} = \psi_2 \psi_8 - A \psi_1 - A^3 \psi_2, \\ &\psi_{11} = \psi_2^3 \psi_5 - A^2 \psi_2 \left(2 \psi_5^2 + \psi_2 \psi_8 \right) + A^4 \psi_5 \psi_8 - 2 A^5 \psi_2^2 + 4 A^7 \psi_5, \\ &\psi_{12} = \psi_2^2 \psi_8 - A \psi_1 \psi_2 - A^2 \psi_5 \psi_8 - 2 A^5 \psi_5, \\ &\psi_{13} = \psi_5 \psi_8 - A \psi_2^2 + A^3 \psi_5, \\ &\psi_{14} = \psi_2^2 \psi_5^2 - 2 A^2 \psi_2 \psi_5 \psi_8 + A^4 \psi_8^2 - 6 A^5 \psi_2 \psi_5 + 4 A^7 \psi_8 + 9 A^{10}, \\ &\psi_{15} = \psi_2 \psi_5 \psi_8 - A \psi_2^3 - A^2 \psi_2^8 + 3 A^3 \psi_2 \psi_5 - 3 A^5 \psi_8 - 6 A^8, \\ &\psi_{16} = \psi_3^2 - 2 A \psi_2 \psi_5 + 2 A^3 \psi_8 + 6 A^6, \\ &\psi_{17} = \psi_2^2 \psi_5 \psi_8 - A \psi_1 \psi_2 \psi_5 - A^2 \psi_2 \psi_8^2 + A^3 \left(\psi_1 \psi_8 - \psi_2^2 \psi_5 \right) - 2 A^5 \psi_2 \psi_8 + 2 A^6 \psi_1 + 2 A^8 \psi_2, \\ &\psi_{18} = \psi_9 \psi_8^2 - A \left(\psi_1 \psi_8 + \psi_5^2 \psi_5 \right) + A^3 \left(2 \psi_5^2 - \psi_9 \psi_8 \right) + A^4 \psi_1. \end{split}$$

The three relations between the six forms of the complete system are

$$\psi_1^2 = E\psi_2 - \psi_5\psi_8^2 + 4A\psi_2\psi_5^2 - 3A^3\psi_5\psi_8 - 3A^4\psi_2^2 - 9A^6\psi_5,
\psi_2^3 = \psi_1\psi_5 - A\psi_8^2 + 5A^2\psi_2\psi_5 - 3A^4\psi_8 - 9A^7,
\psi_5^2 = \psi_2\psi_8 - A\psi_1 + A^3\psi_2.$$

§5. Order and Subgroups of G.

Let us consider the three substitutions

$$T, STS^{-1} = U, \text{ and } S^{-1}TS = V,$$

where S and T are the two generating substitutions of G given in (1) and (2):

$$\begin{vmatrix} z_1' = a_1 z_1 \\ z_2' = a_2 z_2 \\ z_3' = a_3 z_3 \end{vmatrix} = T, \quad \begin{vmatrix} z_1' = a_2 z_1 \\ z_2' = a_3 z_2 \\ z_3' = a_1 z_3 \end{vmatrix} = U, \quad \begin{vmatrix} z_1' = a_3 z_1 \\ z_2' = a_1 z_2 \\ z_3' = a_2 z_3 \end{vmatrix} = V,$$

and let us find all those substitutions R which are generated by T, U and V. It is obvious that they are all interchangeable. On account of $a_1a_2a_3=1$ we have $V=(TU)^{-1}$, therefore we may confine ourselves to T and U. The general form of all possible substitutions R generated by T and U is T^mU^n , and since P is the period of T and of U, the substitutions R are given by this table:

Now it may happen that some power of T will be equal to some power of U. The condition for $T^{\lambda} = U^{\mu}$ is:

$$a_1^{\lambda} = a_2^{\mu}, \ a_2^{\lambda} = a_3^{\mu}, \ a_3^{\lambda} = a_1^{\mu}$$
 (60)

184 MASCHKE: On Ternary Substitution-Groups of Finite Order, etc.

or, see (3),
$$\frac{\lambda k_1}{m_1} - \frac{\mu k_2}{m_2} = \lambda_1,$$

$$\frac{\lambda k_2}{m_2} - \frac{\mu k_3}{m_3} = \lambda_2,$$

$$\frac{\lambda k_3}{m_3} - \frac{\mu k_1}{m_1} = \lambda_3,$$

where λ_1 , λ_2 , λ_3 are three integers. But these three equations coincide exactly with the equations (7) which have already been solved in §1. The solutions of our equations (60) are, therefore, see (26): $\lambda = \alpha$, $\mu = -\beta$, or in full length:

$$\lambda = p_1 p_2 r (lt + n),$$

 $\mu = -p_1 p_2 r (mt + nv).$

The smallest power λ satisfying the equation $T^{\lambda} = U^{\mu}$ is therefore

$$\lambda = p_1 p_2 r = \vartheta,$$

and the corresponding power $\mu = \Im(t - v)$; but v + w - 1 = t, hence t - v = w - 1. We have, therefore,

 $T^{\vartheta} = U^{\vartheta (w-1)},$ $U^{\vartheta} = T^{\vartheta (v-1)}$

and likewise

The consequence is that only the substitutions of the first 3-1 rows of table (59) will be all distinct from each other, while the remaining substitutions will be equal to some substitutions of the first 3-1 rows. We have then altogether $3 \cdot P$ distinct substitutions R. But every substitution of G can be thrown into the form R, RS or RS^2 , because SRS^{-1} is again one of the substitutions R, say R', so that SR = R'S. G contains, therefore, $3 \cdot P$ substitutions. Thus we have the

result: The order of G is $3P = 3P_1^2 p_2^2 r^2 t$.

If t = 1, we have $\Im = P$, and the order of $G = 3P^2$; in this case the P^2 substitutions of table (59) are all distinct. If $\Im = 1$, we have t = P and the order of G = 3P so that the distinct substitutions R will be given by the first row of table (59).

In every case the substitutions R form a self-conjugate subgroup H within G of order $\Im P = \Im^2 t$. But there exists another self-conjugate subgroup H' of order t. This is formed by the t powers of T^{ϑ} , for there is

$$ST^{\vartheta}S^{-1} = U^{\vartheta} = T^{\vartheta \ (\vartheta - 1)},$$

and

$$RT^{\vartheta}R^{-1} = T^{\vartheta}.$$

Evidently H' is contained in H. If $\vartheta = 1$, H' coincides with H, and if t = 1, H' is reduced to unity.

University of Chicago, December 24, 1894.